

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,052	11/20/2003	Paul Michael Ferrell	28642/04198	9298
24024	7590 05/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	ALTER & GRISWOL OR AVENUE	CLARDY, S		
	SUITE 1400			PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44114		1617	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summans	10/718,052	FERRELL, PAUL MICHAEL		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		S. Mark Clardy	1617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DONA INSIGHT OF THE MAILING DO	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	farch 2006			
• —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.			
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notic 3) Inform	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Application/Control Number: 10/718,052

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-17 and new claims 18-23 are pending in this application.

Applicant's claims are drawn to a fertilizer product (claims 10-17, 21-23) comprising a particulate fertilizer substrate which is impregnated with an agrochemical (e.g., prodiamine, claim 16), which is dissolved in an organic liquid carrier (e.g., N-methyl pyrrolidone or NMP, or γ-butyrolactone, claims 13-44), which is present in the fertilizer substrate in an amount up to 10 wt%. Also claimed are methods of making the compositions (claims 1-9, 18-20).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of and Zagar et al (US 2005/0037923; PCT filed Dec 18, 2002), Ross et al¹, and Weston et al (US 5,352,265).

Zagar et al, again, teach herbicidal compositions may be produced as various customary liquid or solid formulations (para 276) such as coated granules, impregnated granules, and homogeneous granules by binding the active compounds to solid carriers which may be, among other things, fertilizers such as urea (para 284). NMP is disclosed among the suitable liquid inert auxiliaries with a carrier function (para 280); in order to impregnate a granule, the active agent will necessarily be dissolved and/or suspended in such a carrier. Prodiamine is also disclosed (para 115) as being a possible secondary active agent for the composition.

¹ Ross et al. Applied Weed Science. Chapter 6: "Herbicide Application". P. 107-110. 1985.

Ross et al, again, teach that granular herbicidal compositions comprising fertilizer materials such as urea are conventional in the art (p. 109), and that "impregnating dry bulk fertilizers with herbicides is done simply by using a rotary drum (or similar) mixer equipped with a spray nozzle ..." (p. 110).

Weston et al, again, teach a homogeneous granular urea-based fertilizer composition comprising urea and two other agents, NBPT² and DCD³. To make the granular product, the NBPT is dissolved in a solvent such as 2-pyrrolidone or NMP (Ex. 3) and then combined with molten urea prior to granulation. The DCD may either be dissolved along with the NBPT, or added directly into the melt (Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references in order to make the impregnated or homogeneous granular compositions of Zagar et al by the methods as disclosed in Weston et al or Ross et al.

Thus, again, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to have made applicant's herbicidal (prodiamine) impregnated fertilizer granule because granule impregnation is a conventional process by which active agents are dissolved or suspended in a liquid carrier, such as NMP, and then applied to a granular material. The prior art teaches that urea is a useful particulate substrate for such compositions which may be made either by conventional impregnation, or by combining active agents with a solvent such as NMP which is then added to molten urea, with the subsequent material subsequently granulated. Thus, the fertilizer product as claimed herein may be made by the process as claimed, or by a process making use of a molten substrate.

² N-(n-butyl)thiophosphoric triamide

Again, applicant has specified various parameter and concentration ranges. It is well-established that merely selecting proportions and ranges is not patentable absent a showing of criticality. <u>In re Becket</u>, 33 U.S.P.Q. 33 (C.C.P.A. 1937). <u>In re Russell</u>, 439 F.2d 1228, 169 U.S.P.Q. 426 (C.C.P.A. 1971).

It appears that applicant's invention pertains to improving the safety of making the claimed compositions (specification pages 1-2). If data can be provided that demonstrates the criticality of the previously discussed ranges with respect to flammability or other safety issues, or that demonstrates enhanced safety (reduced flammability) in comparison with conventional granule impregnation, then the claims would be allowable.

Absent such evidence, no unobvious or unexpected results are noted; no claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is 571-272-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Mark Clardy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

May 9, 2006

³ dicyandiamide